

1
2
3
4
5 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7

8 STEVE NOBLE,

9 Plaintiff,

10 J. WOODFORD, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12 _____/

CASE NO. CV-F-05-0321 OWW DLB P

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTION FOR ORDER DIRECTING
PRISON TO PROVIDE COPYING SERVICE
(Doc. 41)

13
14 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action
15 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on plaintiff's complaint, filed March 7, 2005
16 against J. Woodford, for enacting, implementing, and/or enforcing policies and/or practices that resulted
17 in the denial of visitation with plaintiff's child. On October 2, 2006, plaintiff filed a motion seeking a
18 court order mandating that prison officials provide him with "duplicating services."

19 This court has no jurisdiction to grant the relief requested. This action is proceeding on
20 plaintiff's claim that he was denied visitation with his child. Plaintiff's request is unrelated to the issues
21 in this case. Further, the officer who allegedly denied the copying service is not a defendant in this case.

22 Accordingly, plaintiff's request for an order commanding the prison to provide duplicating
23 services is DENIED. However, the Court will extend plaintiff's current deadline to file a reply to
24 defendant's opposition to motion for preliminary injunction. Plaintiff's reply shall be filed on or before
25 November 15, 2006.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 **Dated: October 4, 2006**

3b142a

/s/ Dennis L. Beck

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE